1	CLEMENT SETH ROBERTS (SBN 209203) croberts@orrick.com				
2	BAS DE BLANK (SBN 191487) basdeblank@orrick.com				
3	ALYSSA CARIDIS (SBN 260103)				
,	acaridis@orrick.com				
4	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP The Orrick Building				
5	405 Howard Street				
	San Francisco, CA 94105-2669				
6	Telephone: +1 415 773 5700 Facsimile: +1 415 773 5759				
7	1 desimile. 11 113 773 3737				
	SEAN M. SULLIVAN (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )				
8	sullivan@ls3ip.com COLE RICHTER (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )				
9	richter@ls3ip.com				
	LEE SULLIVAN SHEA & SMITH LLP				
10	656 W Randolph St., Floor 5W				
11	Chicago, IL 60661 Telephone: +1 312 754 0002				
	Facsimile: +1 312 754 0003				
12	Attornage for Councy Inc				
13	Attorneys for Sonos, Inc.				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,				
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
17					
18	SONOS, INC.,	Case No. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA			
19	Plaintiff and Counter-defendant,	Consolidated with Case No. 3:21-cv-07559-WHA			
20	v.				
21	GOOGLE LLC,	DECLARATION OF SEAN SULLIVAN IN SUPPORT OF SONOS, INC.'S			
22	Defendant and Counter-claimant.	RENEWED OMNIBUS ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE			
23		UNDER SEAL			
24					
25					
ر مد					
26					
27					
28					

- I, Sean Sullivan, declare as follows and would so testify under oath if called upon to do so:
- 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Lee Sullivan Shea & Smith LLP, counsel of record to Sonos, Inc. ("Sonos") in the above-captioned matter. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Illinois and am admitted to practice before this Court *pro hac vice*. I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge, unless otherwise noted. If called, I can and will testify competently to the matters set forth herein.
- 2. I make this declaration in support of Sonos's Renewed Omnibus Administrative Motion to File Under Seal.
  - 3. Sonos seeks an order sealing the materials as listed below:

DOCUMENT	PORTIONS TO BE SEALED	DESIGNATING PARTY
Exhibit 1 to the Ma Declaration in support of Google's Opposition to Sonos, Inc.'s Motion to Realign the Parties [Dkt. No. 511-4]	Entire document	Sonos
Exhibit 1 to the Ma Declaration in support of Google's Opposition to Sonos, Inc.'s Motion to Realign the Parties [Dkt. No. 512-3]	Entire document	Sonos
Exhibit B to the Kolker Declaration in support of Sonos's Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 589-4]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos
Google's Response to Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 589-5]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos
Exhibit 1 to the Cooper Declaration in support of Google's Response to Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 589-6]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos
Exhibit 2 to the Cooper Declaration in support of Google's Response to Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 589-7]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos
Exhibit B to the Kolker Declaration in support of Sonos's Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 590-4]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos
Google's Response to Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 590-5]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

DOCUMENT	PORTIONS TO BE SEALED	DESIGNATING PARTY
Exhibit 1 to the Cooper Declaration in support of Google's Response to Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 590-6]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos
Exhibit 2 to the Cooper Declaration in support of Google's Response to Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 590-7]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos
Exhibit 8 to the Judah Declaration in support of Google's Response to Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 4 [Dkt. No. 597-4]	Entire document	Sonos
Exhibit 8 to the Judah Declaration in support of Google's Response to Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 4 [Dkt. No. 598-4]	Entire document	Sonos
Exhibit B to the Kolker Declaration in support of Sonos's Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 643-1]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos
Exhibit 1 to the Cooper Declaration in support of Google's Response to Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 643-2]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos
Exhibit 2 to the Cooper Declaration in support of Google's Response to Motion <i>In Limine</i> No. 1 [Dkt. No. 643-3]	Portions identified with blue boxing	Sonos
Exhibit 5 to the Judah Declaration in support of Google's Response to Sonos's Request for Clarification [Dkt. No. 675-5]	Entire document	Sonos
Exhibit 5 to the Judah Declaration in support of Google's Response to Sonos's Request for Clarification [Dkt. No. 676-4]	Entire document	Sonos

21

22

23

4. Together with Clement S. Roberts, I am co-lead counsel for Sonos in this matter. I have personally vetted each and every request to seal, as to each and every argument, in Sonos's Renewed Omnibus Administrative Motion to File Under Seal.

2425

26

27

28

5. The document that Sonos seeks to seal is a non-final, non-binding proposed patent license and business engagement agreement between the parties to this lawsuit. It contains the details of a licensing arrangement that the parties were considering, but did not execute, in which both parties would grant licenses to each other, and in which Google would pay Sonos a sum of

## Case 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Document 831-2 Filed 06/30/23 Page 4 of 4

money on top of the cross-license. The parties agreed, and the term sheet reflects, that the term
sheet is confidential, contains a non-disclosure agreement, and is subject to Federal Rule of
Evidence 408. Sonos seeks sealing of this document, and references to the contents of this
document, because this document consists entirely of details of confidential licensing negotiations
between the parties, including a proposed but not executed license agreement and financial terms.
Disclosure of this document and the references to specific information from this document would
harm Sonos by giving Sonos's competitors specific insights into Sonos's licensing strategies
generally and the precise terms on which Sonos considered a cross-license and license payment
with Google. Disclosing this information to competitors would arm them with an asymmetrical
advantage over Sonos in negotiating future license agreements and business engagement
agreements, which would harm Sonos's competitive standing and its ability to negotiate future
agreements. Sonos seeks to seal only a narrowly tailored set of material; a less restrictive
alternative would not be sufficient because Sonos already seeks to seal only the contents of the
confidential licensing negotiation document and nothing else.
I declars under panelty of pariury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed this 30<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2023 in Chicago, Illinois.

Sean Sullivan